arXiv:1604.00969v1 [math.AT] 4 Apr 2016

Topological Coarse Shape Homotopy Groups

Fateme Ghanei[a], Hanieh Mirebrahimi[a,*], Behrooz Mashayekhy[a], Tayyebe Nasri[b]

[a] *Department of Pure Mathematics, Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, P.O.Box 1159-91775, Mashhad, Iran.*

[b] *Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences, University of Bojnord, Bojnord, Iran.*

Abstract

Cuchillo-Ibanez et al. introduced a topology on the sets of shape morphisms between arbitrary topological spaces in 1999. In this paper, applying a similar idea, we introduce a topology on the set of coarse shape morphisms $Sh^*(X,Y)$, for arbitrary topological spaces X and Y. In particular, we can consider a topology on the coarse shape homotopy group of a topological space (X,x), $Sh^*((S^k,*),(X,x)) = \check{\pi}_k^*(X,x)$, which makes it a Hausdorff topological group. Moreover, we study some properties of these topological coarse shape homotopoy groups such as second countability, movability and in particullar, we prove that $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}$ preserves finite product of compact Hausdorff spaces. Also, we show that for a pointed topological space (X,x), $\check{\pi}_k^{top}(X,x)$ can be embedded in $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x)$.

Keywords: Topological coarse shape homotopy group, Coarse shape group, Shape group, Topological group, Inverse limit.
2010 MSC: 55Q07, 55P55, 54C56, 54H11, 18A30

1. Introduction and Motivation

Suppose that (X,x) is a pointed topological space. We know that $\pi_k(X,x)$ has a quotient topology induced by the natural map $q : \Omega^k(X,x) \to \pi_k(X,x)$, where $\Omega^k(X,x)$ is the kth loop space of (X,x) with the compact-open topology. With this

*Corresponding author
Email addresses: fatemeh.ghanei91@gmail.com (Fateme Ghanei), h_mirebrahimi@um.ac.ir (Hanieh Mirebrahimi), bmashf@um.ac.ir (Behrooz Mashayekhy), tnasri72@yahoo.com (Tayyebe Nasri)

topology, $\pi_k(X,x)$ is a quasitopological group, denoted by $\pi_k^{qtop}(X,x)$ and for some spaces it becomes a topological group (see [4, 5, 6, 15]).

Calcut and McCarthy [7] proved that for a path connected and locally path connected space X, $\pi_1^{qtop}(X)$ is a discrete topological group if and only if X is semilocally 1-connected (see also [5]). Pakdaman et al. [24] showed that for a locally $(n-1)$-connected space X, $\pi_n^{qtop}(X,x)$ is discrete if and only if X is semilocally n-connected at x (see also [15]). Fabel [12, 13] and Brazas [5] presented some spaces for which their quasitopological homotopy groups are not topological groups. Moreover, despite Fabel's result [12] that says the quasitopological fundamental group of the Hawaiian earring is not a topological group, Ghane et al. [16] proved that the topological nth homotopy group of an n-Hawaiian like space is a prodiscrete metrizable topological group, for all $n \geq 2$.

Cuchillo-Ibanez et al. [9] introduced a topology on the set of shape morphisms between arbitrary topological spaces X, Y, $Sh(X,Y)$. Moszyńska [21] showed that for a compact Hausdorff space (X,x), the kth shape group $\check{\pi}_k(X,x)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is isomorphic to the set $Sh((S^k,*),(X,x))$ and Bilan [2] mentioned that the result can be extended for all topological spaces. The authors [22], considering the latter topology on the set of shape morphisms between pointed spaces, obtained a topology on the shape homotopy groups of arbitrary spaces, denoted by $\check{\pi}_k^{top}(X,x)$ and showed that with this topology, the kth shape group $\check{\pi}_k^{top}(X,x)$ is a Hausdorff topological group, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, they obtained some topological properties of these groups under some conditions such as movability, $\mathbb{N}$-compactness and compactness. In particular, they proved that $\check{\pi}_k^{top}$ commutes with finite product of compact Hausdorff spaces. Also, they presented two spaces X and Y with the same shape homotopy groups such that their topological shape homotopy groups are not isomorphic.

The aim of this paper is to introduce a topology on the coarse shape homotopy groups $\check{\pi}_k^*(X,x)$ and to provide some topological properties of these groups. First, similar to the techniques in [9], we introduce a topology on the set of coarse shape morphisms $Sh^*(X,Y)$, for arbitrary topological spaces X and Y. Several properties of this topology such continuity of the map $\Omega : Sh^*(X,Y) \times Sh^*(Y,Z) \longrightarrow Sh^*(X,Z)$ given by the composition $\Omega(F^*,G^*) = G^* \circ F^*$ and the equality $Sh^*(X,Y) = \varprojlim Sh^*(X,Y_\mu)$, for an HPol-expansion $\mathbf{q} : Y \to (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$ of Y, are proved which are usefull to hereinafter results. Moreover, we show that this topology can also be induced from an ultrametric similar to the process in [8].

By the above topology, we can consider a topology on the coarse shape homotopy group $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x) = Sh^*((S^k,*),(X,x))$ which makes it a Hausdorff topological group, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any pointed topological space (X,x). It is known that if X and Y are compact Hausdorff spaces, then $X \times Y$ is a product in the coarse

shape category [23, Theorem 2.2]. In this case, we show that the kth topological coarse shape group commutes with finite product, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, we prove that movability of $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x)$ can be concluded from the movability of (X,x), for topological space (X,x) with some conditions. As previously mentioned, $\check{\pi}_k(X,x)$ with the topology defined by Cuchillo-Ibanez et al. [9] on the set of shape morphisms, is a topological group. We show that this topology also coinsides with the topology induced by $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x)$ on the subspace $\check{\pi}_k(X,x)$.

2. Preliminaries

Recall from [1] some of the main notions concerning the coarse shape category and pro*-category. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a category and let $\mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$ be two inverse systems in the category $\mathcal{T}$. An *S^*-morphism* of inverse systems, $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$, consists of an index function $f : M \to \Lambda$ and of a set of $\mathcal{T}$-morphisms $f_\mu^n : X_{f(\mu)} \to Y_\mu$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mu \in M$, such that for every related pair $\mu \leq \mu'$ in M, there exist a $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\lambda \geq f(\mu), f(\mu')$, and an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $n' \geq n$,

$$q_{\mu\mu'} f_{\mu'}^{n'} p_{f(\mu')\lambda} = f_\mu^{n'} p_{f(\mu)\lambda}.$$

If $M = \Lambda$ and $f = 1_\Lambda$, then $(1_\lambda, f_\lambda^n)$ is said to be a *level S^*-morphism*. The *composition* of S*-morphisms $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ and $(g, g_\nu^n) : \mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{Z} = (Z_\nu, r_{\nu\nu'}, N)$ is an S*-morphism $(h, h_\nu^n) = (g, g_\nu^n)(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Z}$, where $h = fg$ and $h_\nu^n = g_\nu^n f_{g(\nu)}^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The *identity S^*-morphism* on $\mathbf{X}$ is an S*-morphism $(1_\Lambda, 1_{X_\lambda}^n) : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}$, where 1_Λ is the identity function and $1_{X_\lambda}^n = 1_{X_\lambda}$ in $\mathcal{T}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

An S*-morphism $(f, f_\mu^n) : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ is said to be *equivalent* to an S*-morphism $(f', f_\mu'^n) : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$, denoted by $(f, f_\mu^n) \sim (f', f_\mu'^n)$, provided every $\mu \in M$ admits a $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda \geq f(\mu), f'(\mu)$ and for every $n' \geq n$,

$$f_\mu^{n'} p_{f(\mu)\lambda} = f_\mu'^{n'} p_{f'(\mu)\lambda}.$$

The relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation among S*-morphisms of inverse systems in $\mathcal{T}$. The *category* pro*-$\mathcal{T}$ has as objects all inverse systems $\mathbf{X}$ in $\mathcal{T}$ and as morphisms all equivalence classes $\mathbf{f}^* = [(f, f_\mu^n)]$ of S*-morphisms (f, f_μ^n). The composition in pro*-$\mathcal{T}$ is well defined by putting

$$\mathbf{g}^*\mathbf{f}^* = \mathbf{h}^* = [(h, h_\nu^n)],$$

where $(h, h_\nu^n) = (g, g_\nu^n)(f, f_\mu^n) = (fg, g_\nu^n f_{g(\nu)}^n)$. For every inverse system $\mathbf{X}$ in $\mathcal{T}$, the identity morphism in pro*-$\mathcal{T}$ is $\mathbf{1}_\mathbf{X}^* = [(1_\Lambda, 1_{X_\Lambda}^n)]$.

In particular, if (X) and (Y) are two rudimentary inverse systems in HTop, then every set of mappings $f^n : X \to Y$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, induces a map $\mathbf{f}^* : (X) \to (Y)$ in pro*-HTop.

A functor $\underline{\mathcal{J}} = \underline{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{T}} : pro-\mathcal{T} \to pro^*-\mathcal{T}$ is defined as follows: For every inverse system $\mathbf{X}$ in $\mathcal{T}$, $\underline{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$ and if $\mathbf{f} \in pro-\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ is represented by (f, f_μ), then $\underline{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{f}^* = [(f, f_\mu^n)] \in pro^*-\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ is represented by the S*-morphism (f, f_μ^n), where $f_\mu^n = f_\mu$ for all $\mu \in M$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the functor $\underline{\mathcal{J}}$ is faithful, we may consider the category pro-$\mathcal{T}$ as a subcategory of pro*-$\mathcal{T}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$. A $\mathcal{P}$- *expansion* of an object X in $\mathcal{T}$ is a morphism $\mathbf{p} : X \to \mathbf{X}$ in pro-$\mathcal{T}$, where $\mathbf{X}$ belongs to pro-$\mathcal{P}$ characterised by the following two properties:
(E1) For every object P of $\mathcal{P}$ and every map $h : X \to P$ in $\mathcal{T}$, there is a $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and a map $f : X_\lambda \to P$ in $\mathcal{P}$ such that $fp_\lambda = h$;
(E2) If $f_0, f_1 : X_\lambda \to P$ in $\mathcal{P}$ satisfy $f_0 p_\lambda = f_1 p_\lambda$, then there exists a $\lambda' \geq \lambda$ such that $f_0 p_{\lambda\lambda'} = f_1 p_{\lambda\lambda'}$.

The subcategory $\mathcal{P}$ is said to be *pro-reflective* (*dense*) subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ provided that every object X in $\mathcal{T}$ admits a $\mathcal{P}$-expansion $\mathbf{p} : X \to \mathbf{X}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pro-reflective subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\mathbf{p} : X \to \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{p}' : X \to \mathbf{X}'$ be two $\mathcal{P}$-expansions of the same object X in $\mathcal{T}$, and let $\mathbf{q} : Y \to \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{q}' : Y \to \mathbf{Y}'$ be two $\mathcal{P}$-expansions of the same object Y in $\mathcal{T}$. Then there exist two natural (unique) isomorphisms $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}'$ and $\mathbf{j} : \mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{Y}'$ in pro-$\mathcal{P}$ with respect to $\mathbf{p}$, $\mathbf{p}'$ and $\mathbf{q}$, $\mathbf{q}'$, respectively. Consequently $\underline{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{i}) : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}'$ and $\underline{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{j}) : \mathbf{Y} \to \mathbf{Y}'$ are isomorphisms in pro*-$\mathcal{P}$. A morphism $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ is said to be *pro*-$\mathcal{P}$ equivalent* to a morphism $\mathbf{f}'^* : \mathbf{X}' \to \mathbf{Y}'$, denoted by $\mathbf{f}^* \sim \mathbf{f}'^*$, provided that the following diagram in pro*-$\mathcal{P}$ commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{i})} & \mathbf{X}' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathbf{f}^*} & & {\scriptstyle \mathbf{f}'^*}\downarrow \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{j})} & \mathbf{Y}'. \end{array} \tag{1}$$

This is an equivalence relation on the appropriate subclass of Mor(pro*-$\mathcal{P}$). Now, the *coarse shape category* $\mathrm{Sh}^*_{(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{P})}$ for the pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P})$ is defined as follows: The objects of $\mathrm{Sh}^*_{(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{P})}$ are all objects of $\mathcal{T}$. A morphism $F^* : X \to Y$ is the pro*-$\mathcal{P}$ equivalence class $< \mathbf{f}^* >$ of a mapping $\mathbf{f}^* : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ in pro*-$\mathcal{P}$. The *composition* of $F^* =< \mathbf{f}^* >: X \to Y$ and $G^* =< \mathbf{g}^* >: Y \to Z$ is defined by the representatives, i.e., $G^*F^* =< \mathbf{g}^*\mathbf{f}^* >: X \to Z$. The *identity coarse shape morphism* on an object X, $1^*_X : X \to X$, is the pro*-$\mathcal{P}$ equivalence class $< \mathbf{1_X}^* >$ of the identity morphism $\mathbf{1_X}^*$ in pro*-$\mathcal{P}$.

The faithful functor $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{P})} : Sh_{(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{P})} \to Sh^*_{(\mathcal{T},\mathcal{P})}$ is defined by keeping objects fixed and whose morphisms are induced by the inclusion functor $\underline{\mathcal{J}} = \underline{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathcal{T}} : pro\text{-}\mathcal{P} \to pro^* - \mathcal{P}$.

Remark 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{p} : X \to \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q} : Y \to \mathbf{Y}$ be $\mathcal{P}$-expansions of X and Y respectively. For every morphism $f : X \to Y$ in $\mathcal{T}$, there is a unique morphism $\mathbf{f} : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{Y}$ in pro-$\mathcal{P}$ such that the following diagram commutes in pro-$\mathcal{P}$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X} & \xleftarrow[\mathbf{p}]{} & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathbf{f}} & & {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow \\ \mathbf{Y} & \xleftarrow[\mathbf{q}]{} & Y. \end{array} \tag{2}$$

If we take other $\mathcal{P}$-expansions $\mathbf{p}' : X \to \mathbf{X}'$ and $\mathbf{q}' : Y \to \mathbf{Y}'$, we obtain another morphism $\mathbf{f}' : \mathbf{X}' \to \mathbf{Y}'$ in pro-$\mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathbf{f}'\mathbf{p}' = \mathbf{q}'f$ and so we have $\mathbf{f} \sim \mathbf{f}'$ and hence $\underline{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{f}) \sim \underline{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{f}')$ in pro*-$\mathcal{P}$. Therefore, every morphism $f \in \mathcal{T}(X,Y)$ yields an pro*-$\mathcal{P}$ equivalence class $< \underline{\mathcal{J}}(\mathbf{f}) >$, i.e., a coarse shape morphism $F^* : X \to Y$, denoted by $\mathcal{S}^*(f)$. If we put $\mathcal{S}^*(X) = X$ for every object X of $\mathcal{T}$, then we obtain a functor $\mathcal{S}^* : \mathcal{T} \to Sh^*$, which is called the coarse shape functor.

Since the homotopy category of polyhedra HPol is pro-reflective (dense) in the homotopy category HTop [19, Theorem 1.4.2], the coarse shape category $\mathrm{Sh}^*_{(HTop,HPol)}$=Sh* is well defined.

3. A topology on the set of coarse shape morphisms

Similar to the method of [9], we can define a topology on the set of coarse shape morphisms. Let X and Y be topological spaces. Assume $\mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ is an inverse system in pro-HPol and $\mathbf{p} : X \to \mathbf{X}$ is an HPol-expansion of X. For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $F^* \in Sh^*(Y,X)$ put $V_\lambda^{F^*} = \{G^* \in Sh^*(Y,X) |\ \ p_\lambda \circ F^* = p_\lambda \circ G^*\}$. First, we prove the following results.

Proposition 3.1. *The family $\{V_\lambda^{F^*} |\ \ F^* \in Sh^*(Y,X) \ \ and \ \ \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a basis for a topology $T_{\mathbf{p}}$ on $Sh^*(Y,X)$. Moreover, if $\mathbf{p}' : X \to \mathbf{X}' = (X_\nu, p_{\nu\nu'}, N)$ is another HPol-expansion of X, then the identity map $(Sh^*(Y,X), T_{\mathbf{p}}) \longrightarrow (Sh^*(Y,X), T_{\mathbf{p}'})$ is a homeomorphism which shows that this topology depends only on X and Y.*

Proof. We know that $F^* \in V_\lambda^{F^*}$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and every $F^* \in Sh^*(Y,X)$. Suppose $F^*, G^* \in Sh^*(Y,X)$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ and $H^* \in V_{\lambda_1}^{F^*} \cap V_{\lambda_2}^{G^*}$. Since $H^* \in V_{\lambda_1}^{F^*}$, then $p_{\lambda_1} \circ F^* = p_{\lambda_1} \circ H^*$. We show that $V_{\lambda_1}^{F^*} = V_{\lambda_1}^{H^*}$. Suppose $K^* \in V_{\lambda_1}^{F^*}$, so $p_{\lambda_1} \circ K^* = p_{\lambda_1} \circ$

$F^* = p_{\lambda_1} \circ H^*$. Therefore $K^* \in V_{\lambda_1}^{H^*}$ and hence $V_{\lambda_1}^{F^*} \subseteq V_{\lambda_1}^{H^*}$. Conversely, if $K^* \in V_{\lambda_1}^{H^*}$, then we have $p_{\lambda_1} \circ K^* = p_{\lambda_1} \circ H^* = p_{\lambda_1} \circ F^*$. So $K^* \in V_{\lambda_1}^{F^*}$ and hence $V_{\lambda_1}^{H^*} \subseteq V_{\lambda_1}^{F^*}$. Similarly, since $H^* \in V_{\lambda_1}^{G^*}$, we have $V_{\lambda_1}^{G^*} = V_{\lambda_1}^{H^*}$ and so $H^* \in V_{\lambda_1}^{H^*} \cap V_{\lambda_2}^{H^*}$. We know that there exists a $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda \geq \lambda_1, \lambda_2$. We show that $H^* \in V_{\lambda}^{H^*} \subseteq V_{\lambda_1}^{H^*} \cap V_{\lambda_2}^{H^*}$ which completes the proof of the first assertion.

Given $K^* \in V_{\lambda}^{H^*}$. We have $p_{\lambda_1\lambda} p_{\lambda} = p_{\lambda_1}$ and $p_{\lambda_2\lambda} p_{\lambda} = p_{\lambda_2}$. Since $K^* \in V_{\lambda}^{H^*}$, so $p_{\lambda} \circ K^* = p_{\lambda} \circ H^*$ and therefore $p_{\lambda_1} \circ K^* = p_{\lambda_1} \circ H^*$. Hence $K^* \in V_{\lambda_1}^{H^*}$. Similarly $K^* \in V_{\lambda_2}^{H^*}$ and so $K^* \in V_{\lambda_1}^{H^*} \cap V_{\lambda_2}^{H^*}$.

Now, suppose that $\mathbf{p'} : X \to \mathbf{X'}$ is another HPol-expansion of X. Then there exists a unique isomorphism $\mathbf{i} : \mathbf{X} \longrightarrow \mathbf{X'}$ given by (i_ν, ϕ) such that $\mathbf{i} \circ \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p'}$. Let $V_{\nu}^{F^*}$ be an arbitrary element in the basis of $T_{\mathbf{p'}}$, where $\nu \in N$ and $F^* \in Sh^*(Y, X)$. Then $\phi(\nu) \in \Lambda$. We show that $V_{\nu}^{F^*} \in T_{\mathbf{p}}$ and this follows that $T_{\mathbf{p'}} \subseteq T_{\mathbf{p}}$. Given $G^* \in V_{\nu}^{F^*}$, thus $V_{\nu}^{G^*} = V_{\nu}^{F^*}$. For each $H^* \in V_{\phi(\nu)}^{G^*}$, we have $p_{\phi(\nu)} \circ G^* = p_{\phi(\nu)} \circ H^*$ and so $p'_{\nu} \circ G^* = p'_{\nu} \circ H^*$. Hence $H^* \in V_{\nu}^{G^*}$ and therefore $G^* \in V_{\phi(\nu)}^{G^*} \subseteq V_{\nu}^{G^*} = V_{\nu}^{F^*}$. Similarly, one can show that $T_{\mathbf{p}} \subseteq T_{\mathbf{p'}}$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let $X \in Obj(HPol)$. Then $Sh^*(Y, X)$ is discrete, for every topological space Y.*

Example 3.3. *Let $P = \{*\}$ be a singleton and $Q = \{*\} \dot{\cup} \{*\}$ (disjoint union). Then $card(Sh(P, Q)) = 2$ while $card(Sh^*(P, Q)) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ (see [1, Example 7.4]). It shows that $Sh(P, Q)$ is a countable discrete space while $Sh^*(P, Q)$ is an uncountable discrete space.*

Theorem 3.4. *The map $\Omega : Sh^*(X, Y) \times Sh^*(Y, Z) \longrightarrow Sh^*(X, Z)$ given by the composition $\Omega(F^*, G^*) = G^* \circ F^*$ is continuous, for arbitrary topological spaces X, Y and Z.*

Proof. Consider HPol-expansions $\mathbf{p} : X \to \mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$, $\mathbf{q} : Y \to \mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$ and $\mathbf{r} : Z \to \mathbf{Z} = (Z_\nu, r_{\nu\nu'}, N)$ of X, Y and Z, respectively. Let $F_0^* \in Sh^*(X, Y)$ and $G_0^* \in Sh^*(Y, Z)$ given by (f_μ^n, f) and (g_ν^n, g), respectively. Let $\nu \in N$ and $G_0^* \circ F_0^* \in V_{\nu}^{G_0^* \circ F_0^*}$. We show that $\Omega(V_{g(\nu)}^{F_0^*} \times V_{\nu}^{G_0^*}) \subseteq V_{\nu}^{G_0^* \circ F_0^*}$. To do this, we must show that for any $F^* \in V_{g(\nu)}^{F_0^*}$ and $G^* \in V_{\nu}^{G_0^*}$, $r_\nu \circ G^* \circ F^* = r_\nu \circ G_0^* \circ F_0^*$. Since $F^* \in V_{g(\nu)}^{F_0^*}$, we have $q_{g(\nu)} \circ F_0^* = q_{g(\nu)} \circ F^*$ and since $G^* \in V_{\nu}^{G_0^*}$, we have $r_\nu \circ G_0^* = r_\nu \circ G^*$. Note that $r_\nu \circ G_0^*$ is an S^*-morphism given by $(g_\nu^n, g\alpha_\nu)$, where $\alpha_\nu : \{\nu\} \longrightarrow N$ is the inclusion map. Define $\alpha : Y_{g(\nu)} \longrightarrow Z_\nu$ as an S^*-morphism given by (g_ν^n, β_ν), where $\beta_\nu : \{\nu\} \longrightarrow \{g(\nu)\}$. We have $r_\nu \circ G_0^* = \alpha \circ q_{g(\nu)}$ and so $r_\nu \circ G_0^* \circ F_0^* = \alpha \circ q_{g(\nu)} \circ F_0^* = \alpha \circ q_{g(\nu)} \circ F^* = r_\nu \circ G_0^* \circ F^* = r_\nu \circ G^* \circ F^*$. □

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 3.5. *Let X and Y be topological spaces and let $F^* : X \longrightarrow Y$ be an S^*-morphism. Let Z be a topological space and consider $F_1^* : Sh^*(Y,Z) \longrightarrow Sh^*(X,Z)$ and $F_2^* : Sh^*(Z,X) \longrightarrow Sh^*(Z,Y)$ to be defined by $F_1^*(H^*) = H^* \circ F^*$ and $F_2^*(G^*) = F^* \circ G^*$.*
(i) F_1^* and F_2^* are continuous, $(G^* \circ F^*)_2 = G_2^* \circ F_2^*$, $(G^* \circ F^*)_1 = F_1^* \circ G_1^*$ and Id_1^* and Id_2^* are the corresponding identity maps.
(ii) Assume $Sh^*(X) \geq Sh^*(Y)$. Then $Sh^*(Y,Z)$ is homeomorphic to a retract of $Sh^*(X,Z)$ and $Sh^*(Z,Y)$ is homeomorphic to a retract of $Sh^*(Z,X)$, for every topological space Z.
(iii) Assume $Sh^*(X) = Sh^*(Y)$. Then $Sh^*(Y,Z)$ is homeomorphic to $Sh^*(X,Z)$ and $Sh^*(Z,Y)$ is homeomorphic to $Sh^*(Z,X)$, for every topological space Z.

Now, we want to prove the following theorem which is usefull to study the topological properties of the space of coarse shape morphisms.

Theorem 3.6. *Let X and Y be topological spaces and let $\mathbf{p} : X \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ and $\mathbf{q} : Y \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$ be HPol-expansions of X and Y, respectively. Take $\mathbf{Sh}^*(X,Y) = (Sh^*(X,Y_\mu), (q_{\mu\mu'})_*, M)$ and consider the morphism $\mathbf{q}_* : Sh^*(X,Y) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}^*(X,Y)$ induced by $\mathbf{q}$. Then $\mathbf{q}_*$ is an inverse limit of $\mathbf{Sh}^*(X,Y)$ in Top.*

Proof. Let Z be a topological space and let $\mathbf{g} : Z \longrightarrow (Sh^*(X,Y_\mu), (q_{\mu\mu'})_*, M)$ be a morphism in pro-Top. We must show that there is a unique continuous map $\alpha : Z \longrightarrow Sh^*(X,Y)$ such that $\mathbf{q}_* \circ \alpha = \mathbf{g}$ in pro-Top. We know that $g_\mu(z) \in Sh^*(X,Y_\mu)$, for every $z \in Z$ and $\mu \in M$. Suppose $g_\mu(z) =< [(g_{\mu,z}^n, \lambda_{\mu,z})] >$ and define $h^z : M \longrightarrow \Lambda$ by $h^z(\mu) = \lambda_{\mu,z}$. We define $\alpha(z) =< [(g_{\mu,z}^n, h^z)] >$. Since $(q_{\mu\mu'})_* \circ g_{\mu'} = g_\mu$, so for every $z \in Z$, $((q_{\mu\mu'})_* \circ g_{\mu'})(z) = g_\mu(z)$. Thus, there is a $\lambda \geq \lambda_{\mu,z}, \lambda_{\mu',z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n' \geq n$, $q_{\mu\mu'} \circ g_{\mu',z}^{n'} \circ p_{\lambda_{\mu',z}\lambda} = g_{\mu,z}^{n'} \circ p_{\lambda_{\mu,z}\lambda}$. It follows that $\alpha(z)$ is an S^*-morphism. It is clear that $\mathbf{q}_* \circ \alpha = \mathbf{g}$. To complete the proof, we show that α is continuous. Let $z \in Z$, $\mu \in M$ and $F^* = \alpha(z) \in V_\mu^{F^*}$. we have $\alpha^{-1}(V_\mu^{F^*}) = \{z' \in Z : \alpha(z') \in V_\mu^{F^*}\} = \{z' \in Z : (q_\mu)_* \circ \alpha(z') = (q_\mu)_* \circ \alpha(z)\} = \{z' \in Z : g_\mu(z) = g_\mu(z')\} = g_\mu^{-1}(g_\mu(z))$. Since $Sh^*(X,Y_\mu)$ is discrete, $\{g_\mu(z)\}$ is an open subset of $Sh^*(X,Y_\mu)$ and since g_μ is continuous, we have $g_\mu^{-1}(g_\mu(z))$ is open subset of Z. It follows that α is continuous. □

Corollary 3.7. *Let X and Y be two topological spaces. Then $Sh^*(X,Y)$ is a Tychonoff space.*

Suppose that $(M, \leq)$ is a directed set. From [8], we denote by $L(M)$ the set of all lower classes in M ordered by inclusion, in which $\Delta \subseteq M$ is called a lower class if for every $\delta \in \Delta$ and $\mu \in M$ with $\mu \leq \delta$, then $\mu \in \Delta$. Moreover, for any two lower classes $\Delta, \Delta' \in L(M)$, we say that $\Delta \leq \Delta'$ if and only if $\Delta \supset \Delta'$. Then $(L(M), \leq)$ is a partially ordered set with the least element M which is denoted by 0. Furthermore, $L(M)^* = L(M) - 0$ is downward directed (see [8, proposition 2.1]).

Let X be a set and $(\Gamma, \leq)$ be a partial ordered set with a least element 0. Recall from [17] that an ultrametric on X is a map $d : X \times X \to \Gamma$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the following hold:

1) $d(x, y) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow x = y$.

2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$.

3) if $d(x, y) \leq \gamma$ and $d(y, z) \leq \gamma$, then $d(x, z) \leq \gamma$.

Now, using the same idea as in [8], we can prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.8. *Let X and Y be topological spaces. Assume $\mathbf{q} : Y \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$ is an HPol-expansion of Y. For every $F^*, G^* \in Sh^*(X, Y)$ take*

$$d(F^*, G^*) = \{\mu \in M : q_\mu \circ F^* = q_\mu \circ G^*\}.$$

Then we have an ultrametric $d : Sh^*(X, Y) \times Sh^*(X, Y) \to (L(M), \leq)$.

Proof. First, we show that $d(F^*, G^*)$ is a lower class. Suppose $\mu \in d(F^*, G^*)$ and $\mu' \in M$ such that $\mu' \leq \mu$. Then $q_{\mu'} = q_{\mu'\mu} q_\mu$ and we have

$$q_{\mu'} \circ F^* = q_{\mu'\mu} \circ q_\mu \circ F^* = q_{\mu'\mu} \circ q_\mu \circ G^* = q_{\mu'} \circ G^*.$$

It follows that $\mu' \in d(F^*, G^*)$. Now, let $F^*, G^* \in Sh^*(X, Y)$ such that $d(F^*, G^*) = 0$. It is equivalent to $q_\mu \circ F^* = q_\mu \circ G^*$, for every $\mu \in M$ or equivalently $F^* = G^*$. Other conditions can also be proved easily. □

Let $(M, \leq)$ be a directed set and $(L(M), \leq)$ be the corresponding ordered set of lower classes in M. For every $\mu \in M$, consider $\{\mu' \in M : \mu \geq \mu'\}$ as the lower class generated by μ, which is denote by $[\mu]$ and define $\phi : (M, \leq) \to (L(M), \leq)$ that maps μ to $[\mu]$. If $\mu \geq \mu'$, then $[\mu] \leq [\mu']$ and $(\phi(M), \leq)$ is a partial ordered set and also is downward directed in $L(M)$ (see [8]).

Now, we have:

Proposition 3.9. *Let X and Y be topological spaces. Suppose $\mathbf{q} : Y \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y} = (Y_\mu, q_{\mu\mu'}, M)$ is an HPol-expansion of Y. For every $\mu \in M$ and $F^* \in Sh^*(X,Y)$ take*

$$B_{[\mu]}(F^*) = \{G^* \in Sh^*(X,Y) : d(F^*, G^*) \leq [\mu]\}.$$

Then the family $\{B_{[\mu]}(F^*) : F^* \in Sh^*(X,Y), \mu \in M\}$ is a basis for a topology in $Sh^*(X,Y)$. Moreover, this topology is independent of the fixed HPol-expansion of Y and it coinsides with the topology defined previously .

Proof. It is obvious that $F^* \in B_{[\mu]}(F^*)$, for all $\mu \in M$. Suppose $F^*, G^* \in Sh^*(X,Y)$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M$ and $H^* \in B_{[\mu_1]}(F^*) \cap B_{[\mu_2]}(G^*)$. Therefore $d(H^*, F^*) \leq [\mu_1]$ and $d(H^*, G^*) \leq [\mu_2]$. Let $K^* \in B_{[\mu_1]}(H^*)$, then we have $d(K^*, H^*) \leq [\mu_1]$ and $d(H^*, F^*) \leq [\mu_1]$ and so by the definition of an ultrametric, $d(K^*, F^*) \leq [\mu_1]$. It shows that $K^* \in B_{[\mu_1]}(F^*)$ and $B_{[\mu_1]}(H^*) \subseteq B_{[\mu_1]}(F^*)$. Conversely, we can show that $B_{[\mu_1]}(F^*) \subseteq B_{[\mu_1]}(H^*)$ and hence we have $B_{[\mu_1]}(H^*) = B_{[\mu_1]}(F^*)$. Similarly, we can conclude that $B_{[\mu_2]}(H^*) = B_{[\mu_2]}(G^*)$. Hence, to prove the first assertion, it is enough to consider $\mu \in M$ such that $\mu \geq \mu_1, \mu_2$, then $[\mu] \leq [\mu_1], [\mu_2]$ and this easily implies that $H^* \in B_{[\mu]}(H^*) \subseteq B_{[\mu_1]}(H^*) \cap B_{[\mu_2]}(H^*) = B_{[\mu_1]}(F^*) \cap B_{[\mu_2]}(G^*)$.

Now, suppose that $\mathbf{q}' : Y \to \mathbf{Y}' = (Y_\nu, q_{\nu\nu'}, N)$ is another HPol-expansion of Y. Then there exists a unique isomorphism $\mathbf{j} : \mathbf{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Y}'$ given by (j_ν, ϕ) such that $\mathbf{j} \circ \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}'$ (we can assume that ϕ is an increasing map). Let $\nu \in N$ and $F^* \in Sh^*(X,Y)$, then $\phi(\nu) \in M$. Given $G^* \in B_{[\nu]}(F^*)$, so by the above argument $B_{[\nu]}(F^*) = B_{[\nu]}(G^*)$. For each $H^* \in B_{[\phi(\nu)]}(G^*)$, we have $d(G^*, H^*) \leq [\phi(\nu)]$, i.e., if $\mu \leq \phi(\nu)$, then $q_\mu \circ G^* = q_\mu \circ H^*$. Given $\nu' \in N$ such that $\nu' \leq \nu$, then $\phi(\nu') \leq \phi(\nu)$ and so $q_{\phi(\nu')} \circ G^* = q_{\phi(\nu')} \circ H^*$. It implies that $q'_{\nu'} \circ G^* = q'_{\nu'} \circ H^*$ and thus $H^* \in B_{[\nu]}(G^*)$. Therefore $G^* \in B_{[\phi(\nu)]}(G^*) \subseteq B_{[\nu]}(G^*) = B_{[\nu]}(F^*)$ and it follows that the topology corresponding to HPol-expansion $\mathbf{q}$ is stronger than the topology corresponding to HPol-expansion $\mathbf{q}'$. Similarly, we can prove that the converse is true.

Finally, we want to show that the topology induced by the ultrametric d coinsides with the topology T_q studied in Proposition 3.1. It is easy to see that $V_\mu^{F^*} = B_{[\mu]}(F^*)$, for every $\mu \in M$ and $F^* \in Sh^*(X,Y)$ which completes the proof. □

4. The topological coarse shape homotopy groups

Let X be a topological space and $\mathbf{p} : X \to \mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be an HPol-expansion of X. We know that the kth coarse shape group $\check{\pi}_k^*(X,x)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is the set of all coarse shape morphisms $F^* : (S^k, *) \to (X,x)$ with the following binary operation

$$F^* + G^* =< \mathbf{f}^* > + < \mathbf{g}^* >=< \mathbf{f}^* + \mathbf{g}^* >=< [(f_\lambda^n)] + [(g_\lambda^n)] >=< [(f_\lambda^n + g_\lambda^n)] >,$$

where coarse shape morphisms F^* and G^* are represented by morphisms $\mathbf{f}^* = [(f, f_\lambda^n)]$ and $\mathbf{g}^* = [(g, g_\lambda^n)] : (S^k, *) \to (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})$ in pro*-HPol$_*$, respectively (see [2]).

Now, we show that $\check{\pi}_k^*(X, x) = Sh^*((S^k, *), (X, x))$ with the above topology is a topological group which is denoted by $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X, x) be a pointed topological space. Then $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$ is a topological group, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. First, we show that $\phi : \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x) \to \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$ given by $\phi(F^*) = F^{*^{-1}}$ is continuous, where F^* and $F^{*^{-1}} : (S^k, *) \to (X, x)$ are represented by $\mathbf{f}^* = (f, f_\lambda^n)$ and $\mathbf{f}^{*^{-1}} = (f, f_\lambda^{n^{-1}}) : (S^k, *) \to (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})$, respectively and $f_\lambda^{n^{-1}} : (S^k, *) \to (X_\lambda, x_\lambda)$ is the inverse loop of f_λ^n. Let $V_\lambda^{F^{*^{-1}}}$ be an open neighbourhood of $F^{*^{-1}}$ in $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$. We know that for any $G^* =< [(g, g_\lambda^n)] >\in V_\lambda^{F^*}$, $p_\lambda \circ G^* = p_\lambda \circ F^*$. So there is an $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq n'$, $g_\lambda^n \simeq f_\lambda^n$ rel $\{*\}$ by [1, Claim 1 and Claim 2]. Then for any $n \geq n'$, $g_\lambda^{n^{-1}} \simeq f_\lambda^{n^{-1}}$ rel $\{*\}$ and so $p_\lambda \circ G^{*^{-1}} = p_\lambda \circ F^{*^{-1}}$. Thus $\phi(G^*) \in V_\lambda^{F^{*^{-1}}}$. Therefore, the map ϕ is continuous.

Second, we show that the map $m : \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x) \times \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x) \to \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$ given by $m(F^*, G^*) = F^* + G^*$ is continuous, where $F^* + G^*$ is the coarse shape morphism represented by $\mathbf{f}^* + \mathbf{g}^* = (f, f_\lambda^n + g_\lambda^n) : (S^k, *) \to (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})$ and $f_\lambda^n + g_\lambda^n$ is the concatenation of paths. Let $V_\lambda^{F^*+G^*}$ be an open neighbourhood of $F^* + G^*$ in $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$. For any $(K^*, H^*) \in V_\lambda^{F^*} \times V_\lambda^{G^*}$, we have $p_\lambda \circ (K^* + H^*) = (p_\lambda \circ K^*) + (p_\lambda \circ H^*) = (p_\lambda \circ F^*) + (p_\lambda \circ G^*) = p_\lambda \circ (F^* + G^*)$. Hence $m(K^*, H^*) \in V_\lambda^{F^*+G^*}$ and so m is continuous. □

Using Corollary 3.5, we can conclude the following results:

Corollary 4.2. *If $F^* : (X, x) \to (Y, y)$ is a coarse shape morphism, then $F_2^* : \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x) \to \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(Y, y)$ is continuous.*

Corollary 4.3. *If (X, x) and (Y, y) are two pointed topological spaces and $Sh^*(X, x) = Sh^*(Y, y)$, then $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x) \cong \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(Y, y)$ as topological groups.*

Corollary 4.4. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(-)$ is a functor from the pointed coarse shape category of spaces to the category of Hausdorff topological groups.*

Corollary 4.5. *Let X be a topological space and $\mathbf{p} : X \to \mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be an HPol-expansion of X. By Theorem 3.6, we know that $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x) \cong \varprojlim \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X_\lambda, x_\lambda)$ as topological groups, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since every $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X_\lambda, x_\lambda)$ is discrete and Hausdorff, $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$ is prodiscrete and Hausdorff, for every topological space (X, x).*

Corollary 4.6. *Let $(X,x)=\varprojlim(X_i,x_i)$, where X_i's are compact polyhedra. Then for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$,*

$$\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x)\cong\varprojlim\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X_i,x_i).$$

Proof. It can be proved similar to the Corollary 3.8 in [22]. □

Corollary 4.7. *Let $\mathbf{p}:(X,x)\to(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{x})=((X_\lambda,x_\lambda),p_{\lambda\lambda'},\Lambda)$ be an HPol$_*$-expansion of a pointed topological space (X,x). Then the following statements hold for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$:*
(i) If the cardinal number of Λ is $\aleph_0$ and $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X_\lambda,x_\lambda)$ is second countable for every $\lambda\in\Lambda$, then $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x)$ is second countable.
(ii) If $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X_\lambda,x_\lambda)$ is totally disconnected for every $\lambda\in\Lambda$, then so is $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x)$.

Proof. The results follow from the fact that the product and the subspace topologies preserve the properties of being second countable and totally disconnected. □

Remark 4.8. *The authors proved a similar result to the above corollary for shape homotopy groups [22, Corollary 3.9]. Note that in that case, we can omit the assumption of second coutability of $\pi_k^{qtop}(X_\lambda,x_\lambda)$, for all $\lambda\in\Lambda$. Indeed, If X is a polyhedron, so X is second countable and hence $\Omega^k(X,x)$ is second countable, for all $x\in X$ and $k\in\mathbb{N}$ (see [10]). Since $\pi_k^{qtop}(X,x)$ is discrete, then the map $q:\Omega^k(X,x)\to\pi_k^{qtop}(X,x)$ is a bi-quotient map and therefore $\pi_k^{qtop}(X,x)$ is also second countable, for all $k\in\mathbb{N}$ (see [20]).*

Let X be a topological space and let $x_0,x_1\in X$. A coarse shape path in X from x_0 to x_1 is a bi-pointed coarse shape morphism $\Omega^*:(I,0,1)\to(X,x_0,x_1)$. X is said to be coarse shape path connected, if for every pair $x,x'\in X$, there is a coarse shape path from x to x'. If X is a coarse shape path connected space, then $\check{\pi}_k^*(X,x)\cong\check{\pi}_k^*(X,x')$, for any two points $x,x'\in X$ and every $k\in\mathbb{N}$ [3, Corollary 1].

Now, we show that these two groups are isomorphic as topological groups, if X is a coarse shape path connected, paracompact and locally compact space.

Theorem 4.9. *Let X be a coarse shape path connected, paracompact and locally compact space. Then $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x)\cong\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x')$, for every pair $x,x'\in X$ and all $k\in\mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. If X is a topological space admitting a metrizable polyhedral resolution and for a pair $x,x'\in X$ there exists a coarse shape path in X from x to x', then (X,x) and (X,x') are isomorphic pointed spaces in $Sh_\star^*$ (see [3, Theorem 3]). Since coarse shape path connected, paracompact and locally compact spaces satisfy in the above condition [25], $Sh^*(X,x)\cong Sh^*(X,x')$. Hence by Corollary 4.3 we have $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x)\cong\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x')$, for every pair $x,x'\in X$ and all $k\in\mathbb{N}$. □

5. Main results

It is well-known that if the cartesian product of two spaces X and Y admits an HPol-expansion, which is the cartesian product of HPol-expansions of these space, then $X \times Y$ is a product in the shape category (see [18]). In this case, the authors showed that the kth topological shape group commutes with finite products, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ [22, Theorem 4.1].

Also, if X and Y admit HPol-expansions $\mathbf{p} : X \to \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q} : Y \to \mathbf{Y}$, respectively, such that $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q} : X \times Y \to \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ is an HPol-expansion, then $X \times Y$ is a product in the coarse shape category [23, Theorem 2.2]. Mardešić [18] proved that if $\mathbf{p} : X \to \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q} : Y \to \mathbf{Y}$ are HPol-expansions of compact Hausdorff spaces X and Y, respectively, then $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q} : X \times Y \to \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ is an HPol-expansion and so in this case, $X \times Y$ is a product in the coarse shape category.

Now, we show that under the above condition, the kth topological coarse shape group commutes with finite products, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 5.1. *If X and Y are coarse shape path connected spaces with HPol-expansions $\mathbf{p} : X \to \mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{q} : Y \to \mathbf{Y}$ such that $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q} : X \times Y \to \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}$ is an HPol-expansion, then $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X \times Y) \cong \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X) \times \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(Y)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X) : X \times Y \to X$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_Y) : X \times Y \to Y$ be the induced coarse shape morphisms of canonical projections and assume that $\phi_X : \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X \times Y) \to \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X)$ and $\phi_Y : \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X \times Y) \to \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(Y)$ are the induced continuous homomorphisms by $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X)$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_Y)$, respectively. Then the induced homomorphism $\phi : \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X \times Y) \to \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X) \times \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(Y)$ is continuous. Since $X \times Y$ is a product in Sh*, we can define a homomorphism $\psi : \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X) \times \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(Y) \to \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X \times Y)$ by $\psi(F^*, G^*) = \lfloor F^*, G^* \rfloor$, where $\lfloor F^*, G^* \rfloor : S^k \to X \times Y$ is a unique coarse shape morphism with $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_X)(\lfloor F^*, G^* \rfloor) = F^*$ and $\mathcal{S}^*(\pi_Y)(\lfloor F^*, G^* \rfloor) = G^*$. In fact, if $F^* = \langle \mathbf{f}^* = (f, f_\lambda^n) \rangle$ and $G^* = \langle \mathbf{g}^* = (g, g_\mu^n) \rangle$, then $\lfloor F^*, G^* \rfloor = \langle \lfloor \mathbf{f}^*, \mathbf{g}^* \rfloor \rangle$, where $\lfloor \mathbf{f}^*, \mathbf{g}^* \rfloor$ is given by $\lfloor f, g \rfloor_{\lambda\mu}^n = f_\lambda^n \times g_\mu^n : S^k \to X_\lambda \times Y_\mu$. By the proof of [23, Theorem 2.4], the homomorphism ψ is well define and moreover, $\phi \circ \psi = id$ and $\psi \circ \phi = id$.

To complete the proof, it is enough to show that ψ is continuous. Let $\lfloor F^*, G^* \rfloor \in V_{\lambda\mu}^{\lfloor F^*, G^* \rfloor}$ be a basis open in the topology on $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X \times Y)$. Considering open sets $F^* \in V_\lambda^{F^*}$ and $G^* \in V_\mu^{G^*}$, we show that $\psi(V_\lambda^{F^*} \times V_\mu^{G^*}) \subseteq V_{\lambda\mu}^{\lfloor F^*, G^* \rfloor}$. Let $H^* \in V_\lambda^{F^*}$ and $K^* \in V_\mu^{G^*}$, then $p_\lambda \circ H^* = p_\lambda \circ F^*$ and $q_\mu \circ K^* = q_\mu \circ G^*$. By a straight computation, we can conclude that $p_\lambda \times q_\mu(\lfloor H^*, K^* \rfloor) = p_\lambda \times q_\mu(\lfloor F^*, G^* \rfloor)$ which implies that $\psi(H^*, K^*) = \lfloor H^*, K^* \rfloor \in V_{\lambda\mu}^{\lfloor F^*, G^* \rfloor}$. □

Theorem 5.2. *Let (X, x) be a pointed topological space. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*
(i) If $(X, x) \in HPol_*$, then $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$ is discrete.

(ii) If $\mathbf{p} : (X, x) \to (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}) = ((X_\lambda, x_\lambda), p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ *is an HPol$_*$-expansion of* (X, x) *and* $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$ *is discrete, then* $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x) \leq \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X_\lambda, x_\lambda)$*, for some* $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. (i) This follows from Corollary 3.2.
(ii) Since $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$ is a discrete group, $\{E_x^*\}$ is an open set of identity point of $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$. Thus $\{E_x^*\} = \cup_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0} V_\lambda^{F^*}$, where $\Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda$. Consider the induced homomorphism $p_{\lambda *} : \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x) \to \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X_\lambda, x_\lambda)$ given by $p_{\lambda *}(F^*) = p_\lambda \circ F^*$. Let $G^* \in ker p_{\lambda *}$, i.e., $p_\lambda \circ G^* = E_{x_\lambda}^* = p_\lambda \circ E_x^*$. Thus $G^* \in V_\lambda^{E_x^*} \subseteq \cup_{\lambda\in\Lambda_0} V_\lambda^{F^*} = \{E_x^*\}$ and so $G^* = E_x^*$. Therefore $p_{\lambda *}$ is injective, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Recall that an inverse system $\mathbf{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ of pro-HTop is said to be movable if every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ admits a $\lambda' \geq \lambda$ such that each $\lambda'' \geq \lambda$ admits a morphism $r : X_{\lambda'} \to X_{\lambda''}$ of HTop with $p_{\lambda\lambda''} \circ r \simeq p_{\lambda\lambda'}$. We say that a topological space X is movable provided that it admits an HPol-expansion $\mathbf{p} : X \to \mathbf{X}$ such that $\mathbf{X}$ is a movable inverse system of pro-HPol [19]. We know that under some conditions, movability can be transferred from a pointed topological space (X, x) to $\check{\pi}_k^{top}(X, x)$ (see [22]) and now we show that it can be transferred to $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$ too.

Lemma 5.3. *If* $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}) = ((X_\lambda, x_\lambda), p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ *is a movable (uniformly movable) inverse system, then* $\mathbf{Sh}^*((S^k, *), (X, x)) = (Sh^*((S^k, *), (X_\lambda, x_\lambda)), (p_{\lambda\lambda'})_*, \Lambda)$ *is also a movable (uniformly movable) inverse system, for all* $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})$ is a movable inverse system, there is a $\lambda' \geq \lambda$ such that for every $\lambda'' \geq \lambda$ there is a map $r : (X_{\lambda'}, x_{\lambda'}) \to (X_{\lambda''}, x_{\lambda''})$ such that $p_{\lambda\lambda''} \circ r \simeq p_{\lambda\lambda'}$ rel $\{x_{\lambda'}\}$. We consider $r_* : Sh^*((S^k, *), (X_{\lambda'}, x_{\lambda'})) \to Sh^*((S^k, *), (X_{\lambda''}, x_{\lambda''}))$. Hence $(p_{\lambda\lambda''})_* \circ r_* \simeq (p_{\lambda\lambda'})_*$ and so $\mathbf{Sh}^*((S^k, *), (X, x))$ is movable. □

Remark 5.4. *Let* (X, x) *be a movable space. Then there exists an HPol$_*$-expansion* $\mathbf{p} : (X, x) \to (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})$ *such that* $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})$ *is a movable inverse system. Suppose* $\mathbf{p}_* : Sh^*((S^k, *), (X, x)) \to \mathbf{Sh}^*((S^k, *), (X, x))$ *is an HPol$_*$-expansion, then using Lemma 5.3, we can conclude that* $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$ *is a movable topological group, for all* $k \in \mathbb{N}$. *By Theorem 3.6, if* $\mathbf{p} : (X, x) \to (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x})$ *is an HPol$_*$-expansion of* X*, then* $\mathbf{p}_* : Sh^*((S^k, *), (X, x)) \to \mathbf{Sh}^*((S^k, *), (X, x))$ *is an inverse limit of* $\mathbf{Sh}^*((S^k, *), (X, x)) = (Sh^*((S^k, *), (X_\lambda, x_\lambda)), (p_{\lambda\lambda'})_*, \Lambda)$. *Now, if* $Sh^*((S^k, *), (X_\lambda, x_\lambda))$ *is a compact polyhedron for all* $\lambda \in \Lambda$*, then by [14, Remark 1]* $\mathbf{p}_*$ *is an HPol$_*$-expansion of* $Sh^*((S^k, *), (X, x))$ *and therefore in this case, movability of* (X, x) *implies movability of* $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X, x)$.

Remark 5.5. *Suppose* (X, x) *is a topological space and* $\mathbf{p} : (X, x) \to (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{x}) = ((X_\lambda, x_\lambda), p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ *is an HPol$_*$-expansion of* (X, x). *Consider* $J : \check{\pi}_k^{top}(X, x) \longrightarrow$

$\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x)$ *given by* $J(F =< (f,f_\lambda) >) = F^*$, *where* $F^* =< (f, f_\lambda^n = f_\lambda) >$. *Then* J *is an embedding. To prove this, we show that for each* $\lambda \in \Lambda$ *and for all* $F \in \check{\pi}_k^{top}(X,x)$, $J(V_\lambda^F) = V_\lambda^{J(F)} \cap J(\check{\pi}_k^{top}(X,x))$. *Suppose* $G =< (g,g_\lambda) >\in V_\lambda^F$, *so* $p_\lambda \circ G = p_\lambda \circ F$ *or equivalently* $g_\lambda \simeq f_\lambda$. *We know that* $J(G) =< g_\lambda^n = g_\lambda >$ *and* $J(F) =< f_\lambda^n = f_\lambda >$. *So for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $g_\lambda^n \simeq f_\lambda^n$ *and it follows that* $p_\lambda \circ J(G) = p_\lambda \circ J(F)$. *Hence* $J(G) \in V_\lambda^{J(F)} \cap J(\check{\pi}_k^{top}(X,x))$. *Conversely, suppose that* $G^* =< g_\lambda'^n >\in V_\lambda^{J(F)} \cap J(\check{\pi}_k^{top}(X,x))$. *Since* $G^* \in J(\check{\pi}_k^{top}(X,x))$, *there exists a* $G \in \check{\pi}_k^{top}(X,x)$ *such that* $J(G) = G^*$. *If* $G =< g_\lambda >$, *then* $J(G) =< g_\lambda^n = g_\lambda >$. *Since* $J(G) = G^*$, *we can conclude that there is an* $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that for every* $n \geq n'$, $g_\lambda'^n \simeq g_\lambda$. *On the other hand, we have* $p_\lambda \circ G^* = p_\lambda \circ J(F)$, *i.e., there is an* $n'' \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that for every* $n \geq n''$, $g_\lambda'^n \simeq f_\lambda$. *It follows that for every* $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $g_\lambda \simeq f_\lambda$ *and hence* $p_\lambda \circ G = p_\lambda \circ F$. *Therefore,* $G \in V_\lambda^F$ *and* $G^* = J(G) \in J(V_\lambda^F)$. *Hence* $J(V_\lambda^F) = V_\lambda^{J(F)} \cap J(\check{\pi}_k^{top}(X,x))$ *which completes the proof.*

Let (X,x) be a topological space. We know that the induced homomorphism $\phi : \pi_k^{qtop}(X,x) \to \check{\pi}_k^{top}(X,x)$ is continuous, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the composition $J \circ \phi : \pi_k^{qtop}(X,x) \to \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x)$ in which J is the embedding defined in Remark 5.5. If (X,x) is shape injective, then the homomorphism ϕ is an embedding and hence we have an embedding from $\pi_k^{qtop}(X,x)$ to $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x)$.

Let $X \subseteq Y$ and $r : Y \to X$ be a retraction. Consider the inclusion map $j : X \to Y$. It is known that $j_* : \check{\pi}_k^{top}(X,x) \to \check{\pi}_k^{top}(Y,x)$ is a topological embedding [22, Theorem 4.2] and similar to the proof of it, we can conclude that the induced map $j_* : \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X,x) \to \check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(Y,x)$ is also a topological embedding.

In follow, we present examples whose topological coarse shape homotopoy groups are not discrete.

Example 5.6. *Let* $(HE, p = (0,0)) = \varprojlim(X_i, p_i)$ *be the Hawaiian Earring where* $X_j = \vee_{i=1}^j S_i^1$. *The first shape homotopy group* $\check{\pi}_1^{top}(HE,p)$ *is not discrete (see [22, Example 4.5]. So the above Remark follows that* $\check{\pi}_1^{*^{top}}(HE,p)$ *is not discrete.*

Example 5.7. *Let* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *and let* $\mathbf{X} = (X_n, p_{nn+1}, \mathbb{N})$, *where* $X_n = \prod_{j=1}^n S_j^k$ *is the product of* n *copies of* k*-sphere* S^k, *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and the bonding morphisms of* $\mathbf{X}$ *are the projection maps. Put* $X = \varprojlim X_n$. *Refer to [22],* $\check{\pi}_k^{top}(X) \cong \varprojlim \pi_k^{qtop}(X_n) \cong \prod \mathbb{Z}$ *is not discrete. Since* $\check{\pi}_k^{top}(X)$ *is a subspace of* $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X)$ *and it is not discete, then* $\check{\pi}_k^{*^{top}}(X)$ *is not discrete.*

References

References

[1] N. K. Bilan, N. Uglesic, The coarse shape, *Glas. Mat.* 42 (2007), 145–187.

[2] N. K. Bilan, The coarse shape groups, *Topology Appl.* 157 (2010) 894–901.

[3] N. K. Bilan, Towards the algebraic characterization of (coarse) shape path connectedness, *Topology Appl.* 160 (2013), 538–545.

[4] D. Biss, The topological fundamental group and generalized covering spaces, *Topology Appl.* 124 (2002) 355–371.

[5] J. Brazas, The topological fundamental group and free topological groups, *Topology Appl.* 158 (2011) 779–802.

[6] J. Brazas, The fundamental group as topological group, *Topology Appl.* 160 (2013) 170–188.

[7] J.S. Calcut, J.D. McCarthy, Discreteness and homogeneity of the topological fundamental group, *Topology Proc.* 34 (2009) 339–349.

[8] E. Cuchillo-Ibanez, M.A Morón, F.R. Ruiz del Portal, Ultrametric spaces, valued and semivalued groups arising from the theory of shape, *Mathematical contributions in honor of Juan Tarrés (Spanish), Univ. Complut. Madrid, Fac. Mat., Madrid*, (2012) 81–92.

[9] E. Cuchillo-Ibanez, M.A. Morón, F.R. Ruiz del Portal, J.M.R. Sanjurjo, A topology for the sets of shape morphisms, *Topology Appl.* 94 (1999) 51–60.

[10] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, Mass. 1966.

[11] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Monographie Matematyczne 60 (PWN, Warsaw, 1977).

[12] P. Fabel, Multiplication is discontinuous in the Hawaiian Earring group (with the quotient topology), *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.* 59 (2011) 77–83.

[13] P. Fabel, Compactly generated quasi-topological homotopy groups with discontinuous mutiplication, *Topology Proc.* 40 (2012) 303–309.

[14] H. Fischer and A. Zastrow, The fundamental groups of subsets of closed surfaces inject into their first shape groups, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 5 (2005) 1655–1676.

[15] H. Ghane, Z. Hamed, B. Mashayekhy, H. Mirebrahimi, Topological homotopy groups, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, 15 (2008) 455–464.

[16] H. Ghane, Z. Hamed, B. Mashayekhy, H. Mirebrahimi, On topological homotopy groups of n-Hawaiian like spaces, *Topology Proc.* 36 (2010) 255–266.

[17] U. Heckmanns, Ultrametric spaces, *english version of the Doctoral dissertation*, preprint Munchen (1996).

[18] S. Mardešić, Strong expansions of products and products in strong shape, *Topology Appl.* 140 (2004) 81–110.

[19] S. Mardešić, J. Segal, *Shape Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.

[20] E. Michael, Bi-quotient maps and Cartesian products of quotient maps, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* (Grenoble) 18 (1968) 287–302.

[21] M. Moszyńska, Various approaches to the fundamental groups, *Fund. M ath.* 78 (1973) 107–118.

[22] T. Nasri, F. Ghanei, B. Mashayekhy, H. Mirebrahimi, On topological shape homotopy groups, *Topology Appl.* 198 (2016) 22–33.

[23] T. Nasri, B. Mashayekhy, H. Mirebrahimi, On products in the coarse shape category, *Glasnik Matematički*, 50(70) (2015), 223–231.

[24] A. Pakdaman, H. Torabi, B. Mashayekhy, On H-groups and their applications to topological fundamental groups, preprint, arXiv:1009.5176v1.

[25] B. Červar, N. Uglešić, Paracompact locally compact spaces as inverse limits of polyhedra, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 52 (1998) 69–78.